## REMARKS

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

The independent claims are amended herein. Claims 1-4 and 8-19 are currently pending.

II. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1-4 AND 8-19 UNDER 35 USC 103 AS BEING OBVIOUS OVER DIGIOVANNI (US PATENT NO. 5,406,404) IN VIEW OF NAITO (US PATENT NO. 5,568,310)

The present invention as recited, for example, in claim 3, relates to an optical transmission system comprising (a) an optical transmitter transmitting a WDM optical signal including a plurality of optical signals with different wavelengths; (b) a multi-stage optical amplifier; and (c) an optical receiver receiving the amplified WDM optical signal from the multi-stage optical amplifier.

As recited, for example, in claim 3, the multi-stage optical amplifier is to amplify the WDM optical signal from the optical transmitter with substantially equal gain over the wavelengths of the optical signals. Moreover, as recited, for example, in claim 3, the multi-stage optical amplifier includes (i) a first-stage optical amplifier which amplifies the WDM optical signal, (ii) a level controller which controls a power level of the WDM optical signal amplified by the first-stage optical amplifier, and (iii) a second-stage optical amplifier which amplifies the WDM optical signal of which level is controlled by the level controller.

Please note that the claims specifically recite a "multi-stage" optical amplifier. For example, as shown in FIG. 1 of the present application, a multi-stage optical amplifier is a device having an "input" and an "output", and multiple amplifier stages between the input and the output. Various of the other figures show a device having an "input" and an "output", and multiple amplifier stages between the input and the output. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that a multi-stage optical amplifier would be well-known to a person of ordinary skill in the art as being a device having an input and an output, and a plurality of amplifier stages between the input and output.

DiGiovanni discloses a plurality of optical amplifiers which are simply dispersed along a transmission line. For example, column 4, lines 13-15, of DiGiovanni, indicate that "a chain of

fiber amplifiers is interspersed within a communication system". Therefore, the amplifiers of DiGiovanni are positioned at significant distances from each other in a dispersed manner throughout the communication system. It is respectfully submitted that DiGiovanni does not disclose or suggest a "multi-stage" optical amplifier including a first-stage optical amplifier, a level controller and a second stage optical amplifier, as recited, for example, in claim 3. For example, no portion of DiGiovanni discloses a device having an "input" and an "output", with multiple stages between the input and output.

In the Office Action, the Examiner considers one of the dispersed amplifiers in DiGiovanni to be one stage of a multi-stage optical amplifier, and a different dispersed amplifier in DiGiovanni to be another stage of the multi-stage optical amplifier. However, it is respectfully submitted that such interpretation of DiGiovanni would be contrary to the ordinary meaning of a multi-stage optical amplifier as being a device having an input and an output, and a plurality of amplifier stages between the input and output.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that DiGiovanni does not disclose a multi-stage optical amplifier, as recited in the claims.

Please note that claim 3 is amended to recite the multi-stage optical amplifier as having an input and an output. Somewhat similar amendments are made to the other independent claims.

\* \* :

Moreover, the present invention as recited, for example, in claim 3, specifically recites a multi-stage optical amplifier "to amplify the WDM optical signal ... with substantially equal gain over the wavelengths of the optical signals." It is respectfully submitted that DiGiovanni "teaches away" from such a multi-stage optical amplifier.

More specifically, DiGiovanni is directed to mitigating gain peaks in a chain of fiber amplifiers, by controlling the pump light provided to the amplifiers. See, for example, the Abstract; column 2, lines 29-40, of DiGiovanni.

Column 3, lines 59-68, of DiGiovanni, discloses:

"In addition to SNR, the variation in gain or output power of the chain of amplifiers with signal wavelength may also be of importance depending upon the dynamic range of the particular receiver used. FIG. 5 shows the output power of the amplified signal after each amplifier, normalized such that the output at the peak wavelength of each amplifier

is set to 0 dB. Again, as expected, the difference between the extreme channels and the center channels increases on passing through each amplifier."

Further, column 3, lines 46-50, of DiGiovanni discloses:

"The SNR varies little with wavelength after one amplifier. However, after passing through four amplifiers there is a significant decrease in the SNR at the shortest and longest wavelengths compared to the center."

Therefore, DiGiovanni discloses that

. .

- (1) the difference of gain between the extreme channels and the center channels increases on passing through each amplifier; and
- (2) there is a significant decrease in the SNR at the shortest and longest wavelengths compared to the center after passing through a plurality of amplifiers.

Accordingly, DiGiovanni suggests the negative impact on the WDM optical signal after passing through a plurality of optical amplifiers dispersed along an optical transmission line. However, DiGiovanni recognizes that, in long haul transmission systems, it is necessary to have a plurality of optical amplifiers dispersed along the optical transmission line. To address the negative impact of the plurality of optical amplifiers, DiGiovanni relates to wavelength of the pumping light of the optical amplifiers.

As DiGiovanni suggests the negative impact on the WDM optical signal after passing through a plurality of optical amplifiers dispersed along an optical transmission line, DiGiovanni can be seen as "teaching away" from employing a multi-stage optical amplifier to amplify a WDM optical signal with substantially equal gain over the wavelengths of the optical signals as recited, for example, in claim 3.

Although the above arguments are specifically directed to claim 3, it is respectfully submitted that the arguments would be helpful in understanding differences in various other claims over DiGiovanni.

FIG. 1A of DiGiovanni discloses the use of variable attenuators 2. However, the variable

attenuators 2 in FIG. 1A of DiGiovanni are used in a substantially different manner than the level controller recited, for example, in claim 3. For example, as indicated in column 3, lines 21-36, of DiGiovanni, variable attenuators 2 are simply used to represent fiber or splitting loss in the test system of FIG. 1A. These attenuators of DiGiovanni are NOT used in a multi-stage optical amplifier having a first-stage and a second-stage to amplify a WDM optical signal with substantially equal gain over wavelengths as recited, for example, in claim 3.

Further, the attenuators of DiGiovanni are NOT used as variable optical attenuators in the manner recited, for example, in dependent claims 8-11.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is overcome.

## III. CONCLUSION

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If any further fees are required in connection with the filing of this response, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: October 1, 2004

Paul I. Kravetz

Registration No. 35,230

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: (202) 434-1500 Facsimile: (202) 434-1501